

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_107111
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical characteristics and the effects of processing methods on nutritional and anti-nutritional quality of soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This is very interesting comprehensive research and would serve as a baseline for further studies on the effects of processing methods, on the nutritional and anti-nutritional quality of foods. I would highly appreciate the attempt of the authors, in the expansion of the scientific knowledge of the community - in food processing.</p> <p>I made a minor correction in the title (mentioned in the manuscript, itself).</p> <p>Yes, it meets the standards. However, I mentioned some suggestions, according to my point of view.</p> <p>Yes, well satisfactory</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>I recommend adding more updated references from the past 5 years -2018-2023 (if possible), rather than using too far references.</p> <p>During my reviewing process, I found a few points to be changed for a better outcome. So, I included them as comments and some of the places I marked them in the manuscript itself. According to my point of view, If authors could make the changes accordingly, a better version of this manuscript would be resulted. Anyhow I wish them all the best and good luck.....</p>	<p>All necessary correction and suggested in the manuscript are done.</p> <p>Reference updated.</p> <p>Changes made</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes</p>	<p>OK</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>-----</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	N/A